



CRM-M-36071-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRM-M-36071-2025
Decided on : 18.03.2026

Kuljeet Singh @ Gora

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Kuljeet Singh @ Gora, aged 28 years	58	26.03.2024	21-C, 25, 27-A and 29 of NDPS Act	Gate Hakima	Amritsar

2. Case of the prosecution is that the police had installed barricades/naka and meanwhile two boys came on Activa scooter (both of them were having backpacks on their back). Registration number of the scooter is not mentioned in the FIR. On effecting search of both the backpacks 3 Kg of Heroin was recovered from the backpack of co-accused Akashdeep Singh alongwith Rs. 2 lacs as drug

**CRM-M-36071-2025****2**

money. From the backpack of petitioner Kuljeet Singh @ Gora, 01 Kg Heroin alongwith Rs. 1 lac is shown to have been recovered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the said scooter was found in the name of one Money Raja Singh, who on being contacted by the investigating officer disclosed that the scooter had been taken from him by accused Akashdeep Singh @ Akash. Statement of Money Raja Singh (owner of the Aactiva scooter), has also been recorded. Thus, learned counsel argues that primarily it appears that main accused is his co-accused Akash, who was possessing the substantial quantity of Heroin and share of it has been planted against him, who incidentally was accompanying Akash, on his scooter as pillion rider. Thus, recovery has been planted alongwith drug money, otherwise petitioner in his past career of 28 years never found indulged in any other similar activity. He further contends that petitioner infact is working as Lab. Technician and is nothing to do with such activities. Thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel, opposed the prayer and submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, however, he does not deny the fact that petitioner is in custody for last 01 year, 11 months and 16 days.

5. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and has carefully perused the record available before it.

6. Taking into consideration all the facts, this Court does not find any substantial reason to continue the petitioners in detention any longer.

7. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

**CRM-M-36071-2025**

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

10. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

11. Petition stands disposed of.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

March 18, 2026*reena***(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*