

**CRWP-7669-2026 (O&M)**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

112

**CRWP-7669-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.06.2026****AMARJEET KAUR AND ANOTHER****...Petitioners****V/S****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Surinder Jaipal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG Punjab.

**********SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J.(ORAL)**

1. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty, the petitioners have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct the official respondents to protect their life and liberty from respondent No.4.

2. The facts, as can be inferred from the petition, are as follows:

The petitioners, who are major, petitioner No. 1, a widow and petitioner No. 2, a divorcee, solemnized marriage in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies on 22.06.2026. Year of birth of petitioner No.1 is stated to be 1977 and date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 10.04.1973, as per their Aadhaar Cards. It is stated that relationship of the petitioners was not approved by respondent No. 4, who was brother of petitioner No. 2. Respondent No.4 was extending threats to eliminate the petitioners and in this

**CRWP-7669-2026 (O&M)**

2

regard petitioners moved a representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur seeking protection of their life and liberty but no action was taken.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and submits that petitioners' representation was received and same shall be considered in accordance with law.

5. The petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to official respondents to decide representation dated 24.06.2026 (Annexure P-6) within a period of one month. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners, necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

6. It is, however, clarified that this order does not express any opinion with regard to the validity of petitioners' marriage, factum of their age nor shall have any bearing on any civil or criminal action initiated or to be initiated against them.

7. Disposed of accordingly.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

30.06.2026
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No