



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34913-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 01.07.2026

PRINCE AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANARESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Bharat Julka, Advocate, and
Ms. Sunita Devi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioners, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.225, dated 10.05.2026, under Sections 115, 190, 191(2), 333, 351(3) of BNS [section 117(2) of BNS added later on during investigation] (corresponding to sections 321, 149, 147, 452, 506 and 325 of IPC), registered at Police Station HTM, District Hisar.
2. As per the allegations in the FIR, petitioners, along with the co-accused, entered the PG accommodation of the complainant and participated in the assault. Specific role attributed to the petitioners is that they inflicted fist and kick blows upon the complainant.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that all the injuries sustained by the complainant were initially declared to be simple in nature. Subsequently, one of the injuries was opined to be grievous, which, as per the prosecution, is specifically attributed to co-

accused, namely Pritam alias Dhola and Rahul. It is submitted that the said co-accused have already been arrested and have since been granted the concession of regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Ms. Malvika Singh, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, this Court finds that role attributed to the petitioners is not in dispute. Moreover, offences alleged are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate. Both the petitioners are stated to be about 20 years of age, and are not alleged to be habitual offenders.

7. On being asked by the Court, learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ramphal, submits that petitioner No.1 namely Prince is involved in two other criminal cases. However, he has not been convicted in any other case, till date.

8. In the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court does not find it necessary to keep the present petition pending any further and, accordingly, deems it appropriate to dispose of the same, with the direction to the petitioners to join the investigation within two weeks from today or as and when called by the investigating officer, and in the eventuality of the arrest, petitioners would be released on anticipatory bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

9. Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passports to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court.

10. However, it is clarified that if the petitioners fails to join and cooperate with the investigation, in terms of the directions mentioned in the present order, it shall be open for the Investigating Officer to proceed immediately to arrest the petitioners, in accordance with law.

11. With the directions recorded here above, present petition stands disposed of.

01.07.2026

Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>