

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(108)

CRWP-7642-2026

Date of Decision: **01.07.2026**

TARUNPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- Mr. Arnav Goel, Advocate and
Ms. Diksha, Advocate
for the petitioners.


Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

-.-

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus*, directing the respondents Nos. 2 and 3 to ensure protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7 and not to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have performed marriage on 10.10.2025. Copy of marriage certificate is (Annexure P-3). Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 25.06.2026 (Annexure P-6) was also made to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police for protection of their lives and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that he



would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur, to look into their representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.

4. Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

5. In view of above, petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to provide necessary protection to the petitioners after considering threat perceptions to their life and liberty and to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

01.07.2026
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No