



**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-7622-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.07.2026**

KULWANT SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J. (Oral)

The petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeks a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of petitioners from respondent No. 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that son of petitioners No. 1 and 2 and daughter of respondent No. 4 had gone missing. Respondent No. 4 filed a complaint, on the basis of which, an FIR was registered by the police. Petitioners, who had no role in the elopement of daughter of respondent No. 4, were being harassed unnecessarily. A representation (Annexure P-1) was moved before respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners but no action was taken thereupon. It is urged that petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate direction is issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur to consider and decide representation dated 23.06.2026 (Annexure P-1) moved by petitioners and take necessary action thereupon in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and submits that as of now, petitioners were not wanted in the FIR regarding missing of daughter of respondent No. 4.

Keeping in view the limited prayer made by petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur, to decide the aforesaid representation dated 23.06.2026 (Annexure P-1) submitted by petitioners within a period of 15 days from today by passing a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law and take necessary action, if so required.

However, if, respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation (Annexure P-1), the petitioners shall be informed as per mandate of law.

01.07.2026
Ajay Goswami

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>