

2026:PHHC:029621



120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RFA-2669-2012 (O&M)

Decided on:-24.02.2026

Mani Ram

....Appellant.

vs.

Haryana Govt. thr. Collector District Mahendergarh
and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr.Shashi Kant Gupta, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present appeal, challenge has been laid to an Award dated 24.03.2012 passed by the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Narnaul, whereby the reference petition preferred at the instance of appellant-landowner, was dismissed.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the present appeal is squarely covered by the judgment dated 17.03.2009 passed by this Court in a bunch of appeals, lead case of which was ***RFA No.788 of 2006***, titled ***“Kirpal Singh vs. Government of Haryana and others”***, wherein, the land situated in the same revenue estate of Narnaul, was acquired vide notification dated 30.10.1992 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. The relevant portion of the judgment dated

17.03.2009, is reproduced hereunder:-

“For the reasons mentioned above, the value of the acquired land is summed up as under:-

i) Rs.300/- per square yard upto 200 feet from Mohindergarh-Narnaul Road

(ii) Rs.210/- per square yard upto 200 feet on Narnaul-Singhana Road.

(iii) Rs.5 lacs per acre beyond the land located on the main road i.e. Narnaul-Mohindergarh and Narnaul-Singhana road.

(iv) Owners of land bearing Ex.PW9/E at Rs.370/- per square yard and the landowners of the land pertaining to sale deed, Ex.PW9/F and Ex.PW9/G shall be paid @ Rs.425.75 per square yard.

The landowners shall also be entitled to all the statutory benefits available under the Act.”

3. On the other hand, learned State Counsel is not in a position to controvert the above factual position.

4. After going through the judgment passed in ***Kirpal Singh’s case (supra)*** as well as the present case, this Court agrees with the assertion of the learned counsel for the appellant.

5. Consequently, the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms as ***Kirpal Singh’s case (supra)***.

6. Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

24.02.2026

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/ No