



CWP-18625-2025 (O&M)

-1-

202-B

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18625-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.03.2026

VINOD SINGH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present : Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl. AG Haryana-State.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate for respondent no.3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing of transfer order dated 19.06.2025, (Annexure P-9) passed by respondent no. 2 i.e. Department of Urban Local Bodies, Haryana whereby the petitioner has been transferred to different posts governed by the service rules called as the Haryana Municipal Services.

2. Learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that the petitioner has assailed the order dated 19.06.2025, (Annexure P-9), vide which he has been transferred from Municipal Corporation to Municipal Committee and his grievance was with regard to his posting on different posts governed by the

**CWP-18625-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

and Condition of Service) Rules, 1998 (for short, '1998 Rules'). As such, he has claimed that he cannot be transferred to a Municipal Committee. Learned State counsel further submits that fresh transfer order dated 18.02.2026 has been passed, as per which, petitioner Vinod Singh has been transferred. He further prays that the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned State counsel has produced copy of order dated 18.02.2026, which is ordered to be taken on record as Mark 'R'. In view of above, nothing survives for adjudication in the present writ petition, as the grievance raised by the petitioner stands substantially redressed.

4. Accordingly, in view of the aforesaid submissions made by learned State counsel and without going into the merits of the controversy involved in the present petition, the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, there being no surviving cause of action requiring adjudication by this Court.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

18.03.2026

Sima

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No