



CRWP-7550-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRWP-7550-2026

Date of Decision :26.06.2026

**ANIL AND ANOTHER****...Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Azam Khan, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Haryana.

\* \* \*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

1. By way of filing this petition, the petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that they are in a live-in relationship and are under imminent threat at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 15.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are in a live-in relationship against the wishes of their parents/relatives i.e. respondents No. 4 to 15 and have sought protection to their lives and personal liberty. It is submitted that petitioner No. 1-Anil is aged about 25 years and petitioner No. 2 – Anjali is aged about 19 years, are thus, major in age and none of them was earlier married. They have not performed marriage, but they are in a live-in relationship and apprehend danger at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 15, who will eliminate them.

3. In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 15, the petitioners have allegedly moved a representation dated 23.06.2026, (Annexure P-3), to the Superintendent of



CRWP-7550-2026

-2-

Police, Nuh, Haryana (respondent No. 2) for providing police protection, wherein, all the apprehension to their lives have been expressed.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 (State).

6. Since petitioners have not contracted any marriage and seek only protection *qua* their lives and personal liberty, and for the same already representation dated 23.06.2026, (Annexure P-3), is pending, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to have a fair look to the said representation, on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by them.

7. Respondent No. 2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. If the assertions of the petitioners is established, respondent No. 2 shall proceed to take appropriate action in order to protect their lives and personal liberty from being invaded by private respondents No. 4 to 15.

8. This order is passed at this stage without commenting anything on the status of the petitioners on the basis of live-in relationship. Respondent No. 2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded here-in-above.

9. Petition stands **disposed of** accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**June 26, 2026**

*Riya*

*Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes*  
*Whether reportable : No*