



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-3231-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.03.2026

Prakash Chand Saini and others
... Petitioners
Versus
Santosh Kumar Yadav IAS and another
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep K. Rana, Advocate,
Mr. Vikas K. Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Anuj Garg, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. K.S. Kang, Advocate, and
Ms. Yukti Garg, Advocate, for the respondent-NHAI.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, Mr. K.S. Kang, learned counsel representing the National Highway Authority of India, submits that stay has been granted in civil revision petition(s) filed against the orders passed by the Court of Additional District Judge, Palwal, vide which the application under Section 36(2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, had been declined. He submits that, in the said revision petition, stay of disbursement of the amount has been granted subject to deposit of the decretal amount. Learned counsel further submits that the said decretal amount stands deposited vide demand draft dated 16.12.2025. He also contends that, in view thereof, the instant contempt petition has been rendered infructuous.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to controvert the aforesaid statement given by learned counsel representing the respondent-NHAI.
3. In view of the above, the instant contempt petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
4. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 19, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No