



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CRWP-7486-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.06.2026

GAGAN DEEP AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI

Present: Mr. Sarthak Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

RAMESH KUMARI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9 and to take action on their representation dated 22.06.2026 (Annexure P-6).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 22.06.2026 before the Superintendent of Police, District Fatehabad (Annexure P-6) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners



would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.

2- Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 22.06.2026 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

6. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

24.06.2026
pooja saini

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No