



134

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-2164-2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.04.2026

Dharam Pal

.....Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. Since none had put in appearance on behalf of the appellant since 18.07.2025, on the last date of hearing, Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant as well as his counsel for the date fixed.
2. As per report of the Registry, learned counsel for the appellant has been informed through e-mail and notice issued to the sole appellant has been received back unserved with the report that he has expired.
3. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.
4. In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
5. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the legal representative(s) of the appellant to move an appropriate application for revival of the present appeal, if any cause of action survives.



6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

06.04.2026
Virender

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No