



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CRWP-7463-2026

Date of Decision:-24.06.2026

RAKHI & ANOTHER

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * * *

RAMESH KUMARI. J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 to 5 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and to protect the petitioners from any false criminal implications initiated by respondents No.6 to 16 and also directing the respondents No.6 to 16 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 20.06.2026 before the Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani (Annexure P-6) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take



necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 20.06.2026 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

24.06.2026

P.Bhatt

i)	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
ii)	<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>