



**101+244 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19207-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.04.2026

Rail Vihar Residents Welfare Association and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Ms. Priya Sahoo, Advocate assisted by
Mr. K.K. Giri, A.R.
for petitioner No.1.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner No.2.

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Suraj Soni, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 10.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for petitioner No.1 submits that petitioner in view of resolution dated 12.07.2025 passed by Executive Committee does not want to pursue the matter. The petitioner may be allowed to withdraw the petition.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner No.2 submits that he was President at the time of filing petition. The petition should not be allowed to be withdrawn because it is prejudicial to the interest of the Society.

3. The petition was filed by the Society. Petitioner No.2 was holding post of President. The petition was not filed by him in his individual capacity whereas he had signed the petition because he was

holding post of President. Now by way of application, he has become petitioner No.2. If he feels aggrieved from resolution passed by the Executive Committee, he has remedy to challenge said resolution in accordance with provisions of Haryana Registration and Regulations of Societies Act, 2012.

4. In the backdrop, the petition stands *dismissed* as withdrawn.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

23.04.2026

Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No