

**CRM-M-35468-2025 and CRM-M-52102-2024****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

338

CRM-M-35468-2025**Tara Chand Jain and another****.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and another****.....Respondents****CRM-M-52102-2024****Vishal @ Sonu and others****.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and another****.....Respondents****Decided on : 20.01.2026****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH****Present:** Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-35468-2025.Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-52102-2024.

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate
for the complainant.**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)**

1. Instant petitions have been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 24.04.2025 (Annexure P-2) in **CRM-M-35468-2025**, and compromise dated 13.03.2024 and 18.04.2024 (Annexures P-5 and P-6) in CRM-M-52102-2024



effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
726	31.12.2021	420, 467, 468, 471, 34 IPC	Rai, District Sonipat

2. Vide order dated 12.11.2025, the Court has directed for recording of statement of remaining two accused petitioners in CRM-M-35468-2025, as the four accused out of the total six have already got their statements recorded in CRM-M-52102-2024 i.e. connected matter.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sonipat, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original in CRM-M-35468-2025 and CRM-M-52102-2024 along with its report. The relevant part of the report is reproduced herein below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Six accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes, four accused in CRM-M-52102-2024 and two accused in CRM-M-35468-2025
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or	No



	His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	NA

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.

6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.

7. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sonipat, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

9. Petitions stand disposed of.

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

20.01.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO