

2026:PHHC:087898



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

146

CRWP-7366-2026

Date of decision :22.06.2026

FIRDOS AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking protection of their lives and liberty as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Service of notice to the private respondents is dispensed with as no adverse order is passed against them.
4. As per petition and documents enclosed therewith i.e. Aadhar Card issued by the Government of India, petitioner No.1-Firdos is aged 22 years and petitioner No.2- Sharukh Khan is aged 30 years. The petitioners are residing together.

5. The petitioners have made a representation dated 18.06.2026 (Annexure P-3) to Superintendent of Police, Nuh Mewat, District Nuh Mewat, Haryana seeking protection of life and liberty. The petitioners have no apprehension of causing any injury on the part of the police or other Government authorities whereas they are claiming that there is apprehension that their relatives may cause injury and put their lives in danger.
6. It is an admitted fact that Petitioner No. 1 is legally married to Respondent No. 4 and is presently living with Petitioner No. 2. Since Petitioner No. 1 herself does not wish to reside with Respondent No. 4, the State has a duty to protect her life and personal liberty. However, this protection does not take away the legal rights of the respondents, who may seek appropriate remedies under the law, including restitution of conjugal rights or any other relief available to them.
7. The official respondents are directed to examine the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law and to ensure protection as per threat perception. The disposal of present petition shall not be construed as protection to petitioners from their civil or criminal liability which, if any, they have incurred in past or may incur in future. This order further would not legalize their relation, if not permissible by law in force.
8. Disposed of.

22.06.2026
manoj

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No