



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

138

CRWP-7362-2026

Date of Decision:-22.06.2026

NAVDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * * *

RAMESH KUMARI. J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a direction to official respondent No.2 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No.3 and 4 and to take action on their representation dated 17.06.2026 (Annexure P-4).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 17.06.2026 before the Director General of Police, Punjab (Annexure P-4) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Batala to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Ms. Jagriti Kalia, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 17.06.2026 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

6. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

22.06.2026

P.Bhatt

i)	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
ii)	<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>