



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

137

CRWP-7313-2026**Date of Decision:-22.06.2026****GURDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHER**

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Dr. D. S. Lamba, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking protection of their lives and liberty as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Dr. D. S. Lamba, Addl. A. G. Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Service of notice to the private respondents is dispensed with as no adverse order is passed against them.
4. As per petition and documents enclosed therewith i.e. Aadhar Card issued by the Government of India, petitioner No.1-Gurdeep Singh is aged 22 years 07 months and petitioner No.2-Neha is aged 19 years. The petitioners are residing with each other after the marriage which is solemnized on 17.06.2026 at Shri Bhramari Devi Jan Kalyan, Mansa Devi Market, Panchkula according to Hindu vedic rites.

5. The petitioners have made a representation dated 17.06.2026 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab) seeking protection of life and liberty. The petitioners have no apprehension of causing any injury on the part of the police or other Government authorities whereas they are claiming that there is apprehension that their relatives may cause injury and put their lives in danger.

6. The official respondents are directed to examine the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law and to ensure protection as per threat perception. The disposal of present petition shall not be construed as protection to petitioners from their civil or criminal liability which, if any, they have incurred in past or may incur in future. This order further would not legalize their relation, if not permissible by law in force.

7. Disposed of.

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

22.06.2026
Ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No