

2026:PHHC:087839



**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7272-2026

Date of Decision: 22.06.2026

JAGJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Anmol Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Lamba, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking protection of their lives and liberty as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. D.S. Lamba, Addl. A.G. Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Service of notice to the private respondents is dispensed with as no adverse order is passed against them.
4. As per petition and documents enclosed therewith i.e. Aadhar Card issued by the Government of India, petitioner No. 1-Jagjit Singh is aged 28 years and petitioner No.2- Kiran Kaur is aged 25 years. The petitioners are residing together after the marriage which was solemnized on

15.06.2026 at Gurudwara Sahib Lakhi Shah Vanjara, Forest Hill, near Singh Devi Colony, Tehsil Kharar, District SAS Nagar Mohali as per sikh rites and ritual.

5. The petitioners have made a representation dated 15.06.2026 (Annexure P-7) to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur seeking protection of life and liberty. The petitioners have no apprehension of causing any injury on the part of the police or other Government authorities whereas they are claiming that there is apprehension that their relatives may cause injury and put their lives in danger.

6. The official respondents are directed to examine the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law and to ensure protection as per threat perception. The disposal of present petition shall not be construed as protection to petitioners from their civil or criminal liability which, if any, they have incurred in past or may incur in future. This order further would not legalize their relation, if not permissible by law in force.

7. Disposed of.

22.06.2026
Lalita-II

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No