



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34255-2026
Date of decision: 02.07.2026**

Sonu @ Mundiya

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL.

Present: Mr. Lal Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal, Senior D.A.G. Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition preferred under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'the BNSS'), is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.144 dated 08.04.2024 (wrongly 2025 mentioned in impugned order (P-3), registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC (new Sections 318 (4) 338, 336 (3) and 340 (2) BNS, 2023), at Police Station Civil Lines Sirsa, District Sirsa, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

2. On the basis of a complaint submitted by the Reader working in the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, (FTC) Sirsa, the FIR in question was registered. The allegation was that the present petitioner was an accused in FIR No.248 dated 04.04.2022, registered under Sections 21 of NDPS Act, at Police Station City Sirsa. He was granted regular bail vide order dated 21.05.2022 by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa. Pursuant to the same, the petitioner furnished his personal bonds and one Sonu s/o Sh. Shishpal stood surety on his behalf and bonds were furnished by him.

Eventually, it was found that the property mentioned in the surety bonds had already been sold by Sonu son of Sh. Shishpal to one Krishna Devi and Santro Devi and mutation in this regard had also been sanctioned. Accordingly, the FIR was registered. The present petitioner was arrested on 02.07.2025 and the surety Sonu son of Sh. Shishpal was also arrested.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the offence, if any, was committed by Sonu son of Sh. Shishpal, who has already been granted regular bail in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2025; trial will take a sufficiently long time to conclude and, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody any longer.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has opposed the bail application stating that the petitioner is a habitual offender and as per her instructions, as many as 21 cases stand registered against him.

5. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

6. The present case pertains to furnishing of fake surety bonds by one Sonu son of Sh. Shishpal, who has already been granted regular bail in this case. The registration of other cases against the petitioner may not be of any relevance in the present case as the present case pertains to furnishing of surety bonds. In any case, the petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2025; the main accused Sonu son of Sh. Shishpal has already been granted regular bail; trial will take a sufficiently long time to conclude and, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody any longer.

7. In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is

allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

July 02, 2026

Rekha

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>