



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34269-2026

Date of Decision:22.06.2026

Jasvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. By virtue of the present petition u/s 528 of BNSS, petitioner prays for issuing appropriate direction to official respondent Nos.2 and 3 not to harass and humiliate the petitioner by calling him time and again in the office at the behest of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.5 and 6 stems out of the alleged non-compliance of the terms and conditions of the Agreement to sell dated 13.12.2025. The same being purely 'civil' in nature, however, on a frivolous complaint filed by respondent No.5, ADCP, Traffic, Ludhiana i.e. respondent No.3 is repeatedly calling and harassing the petitioner, aged about 75 years. Learned counsel further submits that a representation dated 11.06.2026 (Annexure P-7) mentioning the entire facts was moved by the petitioner, which till date remains undecided.

At this stage, learned counsel restricts his prayer only to direct the official respondents to decide the representation.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.
5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case and in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondent No.2 Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana to decide the representation dated 11.06.2026 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law within a period of 04 weeks from today in an expeditious manner.

22.06.2026
Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No