



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-7016-CWP-2026, CM-7017-CWP-2026,
CM-7018-CWP-2026 in/and CWP-4738-2017
DECIDED ON:06.05.2026**

ASHISH SHARMA AND ORS

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CM-7016-CWP-2026

Prayer in this application is for fixing the actual date of hearing in the main petition.

Notice in the application.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana, having served with an advance copy of the application, has put in appearance and endorsed no objection, in case the present application is allowed.

Considering that the petition was adjourned *sine die* to await the decision in SLP No. 27394 of 2018 arising out of *Yogesh Tyagi vs. State of Haryana*, and that the Supreme Court has finally decided the issue raised therein,

while considering a bunch of connected cases vide judgment rendered in *Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*, in Civil Appeal No. 1996 of 2024 decided on 16.04.2026 (Annexure A-1), the present application deserves to be allowed.

Accordingly, the application is allowed.

Main case is taken on board.

CM-7017-CWP-2026 & CM-7018-CWP-2026

The prayer in these applications is to implead the applicants, namely Anu and others, as legal representatives of Petitioner No. 5, Karamjeet, who expired on 04.11.2023, and to implead Suresh Kumar and others as legal representatives of Petitioner No. 18, Anita, who expired on 27.09.2020.

Notice in the applications.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana, who has been served with an advance copy of the applications, has put in appearance and states that she has no objection in case the present applications are allowed.

In light of the above and for the reasons stated in the applications, the same are allowed.

Anu, wife of Petitioner No. 5, and Gurkirat Singh and Yashpreet Singh, sons of Petitioner No. 5, are ordered to be impleaded as legal representatives of Petitioner No. 5, namely Shri Karamjeet.

Suresh Kumar, husband of Petitioner No. 18, Shanti, mother-in-law of Petitioner No. 18, and Tushar Singha, son of Petitioner No. 18, are ordered to be impleaded as legal representatives of Petitioner No. 18, namely Anita.

The amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CWP-4738-2017

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the reply to the legal notice dated 19.10.2016 (Annexure P-8) vide which the claim of the petitioner was illegally rejected only on the ground that their services on the post of Junior Programmer and the Data Entry Operator cannot be regularized.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks disposal of the writ petition for consideration of the case of the petitioners in the light of judgment dated 16.04.2026 (Annexure A-1) passed by the Hon’ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 1996 of 2024 titled *Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*.

Learned State counsel does not have any objection to the above-said proposal put forth by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Accordingly, Respondent No. 2 is directed to examine the individual claims for regularization of the each petitioner and adjudicate the same in light of the principles laid down in *Madan Singh’s case* (supra), within a period of four weeks, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and by passing a speaking order. A copy of the speaking order so passed shall be supplied to the petitioners within one week thereafter.

The petition is disposed off in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

06.05.2026
Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No