



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21301-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.05.2026

Lakhwinder Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Gurinderpal Singh, Advocate,
Ms. Sukhmandeep Kaur, Advocate,
Mr. Anirudh Wadhwa, Advocate, for
Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners impugn the speaking order dated 28.08.2020 (Annexure P-7), passed by respondent No.2, vide which, their claim was rejected, on the ground that decision dated 01.02.2018 (Annexure P-2) rendered in CWP-7920-2013 (Annexure P-2), was stayed by a Division Bench in LPA-449-2019, preferred by the respondent-State.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners informs this Court that the abovesaid appeal, along with connected cases, has already been decided, vide judgment dated 09.03.2026. Therefore, he submits that the present petition be disposed of, by issuing a Mandamus upon the respondent-State to re-consider the claim of the petitioners, in the light of the decision dated 09.03.2026.

3. In response, learned State counsel fairly submits that in the wake of the supervening event, as explained above, the claim of the petitioners is required to be re-considered.

4. In view of the common stand set out by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is **disposed of**, with a direction to the respondents to re-



consider the claim of the petitioners, in terms of the decision (supra), and pass a speaking order within eight weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of this order, but after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

19.05.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No