



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

279

CRM-M-35018-2025(O&M)

Date of decision: 17.02.2026

Harnek Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Hanspuneet Singh Kehal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Lovepreet Singh Advocate for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for seeking quashing of FIR No.51 dated 08.05.2018 registered under Section(s) 420, 408, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station B Division, Amritsar alongwith all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 21.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Short reply filed by way of affidavit dated 03.12.2025 on behalf of respondent-State is already available on file and the same is taken on record. Registry is directed to do the needful.

3. Even though the report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar, however, the affidavit filed by the respondent-State reveals that the settlement is only with the informant-Kapil Kumar, who is the Operation Manager of the company, whereas there are numerous other victims, namely, Amarjit Singh, Sunil Mahajan, Swaran

Singh, Manjit Singh, Baldev Singh and Gurbhej Singh, who had been cheated by the petitioner herein. The compromise that has been placed on record does not substantiate the settlement that has been arrived at, with the said victim persons as well.

4. In view of the above, counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage with liberty to file a fresh petition as and when the settlement is arrived at with all the injured parties.

5. Disposed of as not pressed with the liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

17.02.2026

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No