



121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19548-2026

Date of decision: 01.07.2026

Satwanti Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the order dated 25.01.2023 (Annexure P-9) and similarly the leave encashment order dated 15.02.2023 (Annexure P-10) to the extent the same has been released from the date of initial appointment on the basis of provisional pay fixed without granting the benefit of 1st ACP from 01.07.2012. Further, for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to re-compute and release gratuity and leave encashment from 22.01.2000 or alternatively from the date of acquiring B.Lib.Sc. May, 2004 after granting 1st ACP which is under challenge in another petition because the same was illegally withheld on the ground that rectification of pay anomaly is awaited with arrears and interest @ 9% per annum on delayed payment.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-12) of the petitioner is decided by

**CWP-19548-2026****-2-**

respondent No.3 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice, submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.3 is directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-12) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording her an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.3.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

01.07.2026*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No