

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

112

CWP-18263-2025
Date of Decision:21.01.2026

SMT HAZURI DEVI ALIAS HAJURI DEVI AND ANOTHER
...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY AND ADMINISTRATOR AND
OTHERS
...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Kanwar Arjun Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners through VC.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Special Public Prosecutor-NCB with
Ms. Himanshi Gautam, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. In view of objection taken by respondent No.1 in its counter affidavit, petitioners have a remedy of filing an application under Rule 11 of the Appellate Tribunal for Forfeited Property (Procedure) Rules, 1989, for restoration of an appeal filed under Section 68-O of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985. As petitioners have an alternate remedy, they cannot invoke the extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court.
2. Writ petition is dismissed. Liberty is given to petitioners to avail the aforesaid remedy.

21.01.2026
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No