

2026:PHHC:087385



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

**CRM-M-33664-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 12.06.2026**

MANVEER SAINI

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. J.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. S.S.Chahal, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS 2023 (438) CrPC) with a prayer for of grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.132 dated 23.05.2026 U/s 115 (2) BNS, 126(2) BNS, 303 (2) BNS, 351 (3) BNS registered Jalandhar & at 3 (5) BNS, registered at P.S. Basti Bawa Khel (Annexure P-1).

2 Contention

On behalf of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the injuries are declared to be simple in nature, moreover, there is unexplained delay of 8 days in lodging the FIR. He further submits that no specific role of the petitioner is

coming forth in the FIR. He has argued that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, hence, prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On behalf of the Stat

On the asking of Court, Mr. S.S.Chahal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State. He could not controvert the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. **Analysis**

Be that as it may, having given due consideration to the submissions advanced, particularly to the fact that all the injuries are declared to be simple in nature, moreover, there is unexplained delay of 8 days in lodging the FIR and the fact that no specific role of the petitioner is coming forth in the FIR and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that there is no valid or cogent reason to deny the bail to the present petitioner, wherein he has *bona fide* intentions and is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency in time.

4. **Relief:-**

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

12.06.2026
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No