

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-33655-2026

Date of Decision : June 25, 2026

AMIT RANA

-PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH

-RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, with
Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Addl. Public Prosecutor,
for the respondent- U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Aryan Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.45 dated 05.03.2026, registered under Sections 115(2) and 109 of the B.N.S., 2023, at P.S. Sector 31, District Chandigarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while referring to the photograph (Annexure P-2) depicting the injury allegedly sustained by the petitioner, submits that the petitioner inflicted injuries upon the complainant's brother in self-defence.

3. This Court has considered the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but finds no merit therein. The reason for drawing this inference is that the record reveals that the complainant's brother has sustained as many as six stab injuries, attracting the provisions of Section

109 of the B.N.S., 2023. In contrast, the injury allegedly suffered by the petitioner is superficial and simple in nature. Consequently, at this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner has failed to make out a case for the grant of anticipatory bail, particularly in view of the serious allegations regarding the infliction of multiple stab injuries upon the complainant’s brother.

4. In summa, the instant petition is **dismissed**.

June 25, 2026
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No