



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

206

CRWP-6889-2026

Date of Decision: 12.06.2026

DHARMENDER ALIAS BANWARI

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR***

Present:- Mr. Abhijeet Singh Rawaley, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Addl. AG. Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this criminal writ petition is for set aside impugned order dated 03.06.2026 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent No.3, whereby regular parole to the petitioner was declined and rejected. It is further prayed that a direction be issued to the respondents to grant custody parole to petitioner under Section 6 of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 2022.

Short reply by way of affidavit of Satyawar, Superintendent, District Jail, Rohtak has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State dated 11.06.2026, which is taken on record. A copy of the same has also been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner in Court today.



Mr. Sourabh Goel, Addl. AG. Haryana appearing on behalf of the respondent-State would draw the attention of this court to the order under challenge dated 03.06.2026 which has been again attached with the present reply Annexure R-1 asserting that since the petitioner is a hardcore convict, his case was considered with apathy and invoking the provisions of Section 6(2) of Haryana Good Conduct (Temporary Release) Act, 2022, custody parole has already been allowed to attend the marriage of his real sister.

Accordingly, since the marriage which is scheduled for 19.06.2026, as is crystalized from the invitation card of the said marriage Annexure P-3, the petitioner is granted custody parole for two days i.e. 18.06.2026 and 19.06.2026, and after completion of the marriage ceremony late night of 19.06.2026, he shall be taken back to the jail premises forthwith. It is however, further made clear that due procedure in law for transit of petitioner in custody parole be strictly adhered to.

In the light of the stand taken by the respondent-State in their affidavit filed today in Court, we do not find any reasons to interfere any further in the matter which already stands redundant.

Petition stands disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

12.06.2026
Satyawan

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>