



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128

CRWP-6872-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.06.2026

SUNIL AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and for restraining the private respondents No.4 to 8 from interfering in their matrimonial life.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that both the petitioners are major and copies of their Aadhaar cards are appended with the petition as Annexures **P-1 and P-2**, as proof of their age. It is further contended that the petitioners have got married on **06.06.2026 at Gurudwara Sahib Lakhi Shah Banjara, Near Singha Devi Colony, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali**. The attention of the Court is drawn to the certificate of marriage and photographs, which are appended with the petition as Annexure **P-3 and P-4 respectively**. It is argued that the private respondents No.4 to 8 and other relatives are opposed to the marriage of petitioner No.1 with



CRWP-6872-2026 (O&M) -2-

petitioner No.2 and thus, there is a serious threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners. It is contended that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated **07.06.2026 (Annexure P-5)** to respondent No.2 seeking protection of their life and liberty and would be satisfied if at this stage a direction is given to the said respondents to decide the same in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the aforementioned facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated **07.06.2026 (Annexure P-5)** strictly in accordance with the Standard Operating Procedures notified by the State of Punjab vide Notification dated 23.1.2025.

4. It is clarified that this Court has not commented upon the veracity of the averments made in the present petition, which will be ascertained by the concerned authority, in accordance with law.

5. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

10.06.2026

dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No