



216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-20941-2020(O&M)
Date of decision : 01.04.2026**

Achal Bisht

...Petitioner

Versus

Principal-cum-Secretary, Chandigarh Institute of Hotel Management,
Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH
NALWA**

Present: Dr. Rau P.S. Girwar, Advocate,
Ms. K.T. Rau, Advocate and
Ms. Archana Rau, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate for the respondents.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take into consideration the period from 06.03.2018 to 01.06.2020 for the purpose of salary and other pensionary benefits.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that a perusal of the judgment passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in an earlier writ petition filed by the petitioner, i.e. ***CWP No. 13249 of 2018*** titled as ***Achal Bisht Vs. Chandigarh Institute of Hotel Management & Catering Technology and another, decided on 10.02.2020***, would show that the petitioner was held entitled to promotion w.e.f. 06.02.2018 on the post of Lecturer-cum-Instructor as the petitioner was eligible for promotion on the date of the



recommendation made by the Departmental Promotion Committee (for short 'DPC'). He further submits that in pursuance of the judgment passed in ***Achal Bisht's case*** (supra), respondent No.1 has passed an order dated 01.06.2020 (Annexure P-7), whereby, although the petitioner has been granted promotion w.e.f. 06.03.2018, yet he has been denied salary for the period from 06.03.2018 till the passing of the said order dated 01.06.2020 (Annexure P-7).

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner further submits that, in light of the judgment passed in ***Achal Bisht's case*** (supra), the petitioner is also entitled for grant of actual salary for the period from 06.03.2018 till 01.06.2020, and the stand taken by the respondents in the reply dated 12.07.2024, to the effect that the principle of 'no work no pay' would apply, is not applicable in the case of the petitioner. He further submits that the aforesaid aspect has not been taken into consideration while passing the order dated 01.06.2020 (Annexure P-7).

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that he would be satisfied if the case of the petitioner for grant of salary for the period from 06.03.2018 till 01.06.2020 is reconsidered by respondent No.2, taking into consideration the judgment passed in ***Achal Bisht's case*** (supra).

5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not oppose the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to



respondent No.2 to reconsider the case of the petitioner for grant of salary for the period from 06.03.2018 till the passing of order dated 01.06.2020 (Annexure P-7), in light of the judgment passed in **Achal Bisht’s case** (supra), in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

01.04.2026	(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)	
<i>d.gulati</i>	JUDGE	
Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No