

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-2011-2026

Date of decision: 15.06.2026

Rajbir

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Chander Pal Tiwana, Advocate for the appellant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Appellant prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.723 dated 10.10.2024, under Sections 115(2), 127(2), 190, 191(2), (r), 3(1) (s) and 3(2) (Va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar.

2. FIR was lodged on the complaint of Ompal, as per which he along with others was engaged as a labourer by Contractor Satish Jangra along with petitioner Rajbir and others. When after conclusion of the work they asked for their wages, Satish Jangra and his other accomplices assaulted the complainant and his labour friends, locked them in a room, confined them for the whole night and asked them for extortion money of ₹5,00,000/- anyone threatened to kill the complainant and his friends.

3. It is contended by learned counsel that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and that allegations are against Satish Jangra; that co-accused Satish Jangra, Sewa Singh, Satbir Singh and Basau have already been allowed regular bail by the trial Court from time to time.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Sh. Abhinav Mahant, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of



the State. Learned State counsel opposes the petition on the ground that extortion money was asked for through the mobile of the petitioner and an amount of Rs.5,000/- was found to have been deposited in his bank account. However, it is not disputed by him that the co-accused have since been allowed bail. It is further informed by learned State counsel that petitioner is in custody since 26.03.2026 i.e. for the last almost 80 days. It is also informed by learned State counsel that challan has already been filed after conclusion of investigation.

6. Having regard to all the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

7. Allowed.

15.06.2026

Yogesh

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No