



CRWP-6767-2026 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

**CRWP-6767 of 2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.06.2026**

Gagandeep Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Amritpal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondent No.4 and not to interfere in their personal life and liberty.

2. It is submitted that both the petitioners are major. As per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-3), her date of birth is 12.10.2007. On the other hand, as per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-3), his date of birth is 30.04.2003. They had performed marriage on 03.06.2026. Copy of Certificate of Marriage is annexed as Annexure P-1 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are annexed as Annexure P-2. Petitioners also moved representation dated 03.06.2026 (Annexure P-4) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1 and as such, they are receiving threats from respondent No.4.



CRWP-6767-2026 (O&M)

3. Notice of motion.
4. On advance notice, Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply a complete copy of paper-book to learned State counsel during the course of the day.
6. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondent.
7. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both the petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hand of respondent No.4. It is the duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to consider representation of the petitioners dated 03.06.2026 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent No.4, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
8. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
9. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

08.06.2026

d.gulati

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No