

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.

217

2026:PHHC:087673



CRWP-6760-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 17.06.2026.

KOMAL AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Abhishek Joon, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Punit Verma, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 7.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners namely Komal and Jagdeep Singh have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from private respondents No.4 to 7.

Status report on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in the Court today. A copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

The contention of the petitioners is that both are major, aged 21 years and 32 years respectively and they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. In support thereof, copy of the Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 13.02.2026 as per Hindu rites and rituals. A copy of Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) has also been appended. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of them being implicated in a false case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 02.06.2026 (Annexure P-5) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Kaithal, Haryana. Counsel submits that, at this juncture, the petitioners would be satisfied in case appropriate directions, to examine the representation, are issued.

State counsel submits that she has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Having heard the counsel for the parties, the present petition is being disposed of without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon with a direction to the respondent No.3-Station House Officer, Police Station Cheeka, District Kaithal, Haryana, to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 02.06.2026

(Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners, nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.3- Station House Officer, Police Station Cheeka, District Kaithal, Haryana, for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

June 17, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No