

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC:056781



**CWP-9675-2014(O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.04.2026**

SHIVALIK ENGINEERING TRADERS

....Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 18.05.2012 (Annexure P-9) whereby respondent has rejected its claim to the extent of Rs. 56,926/-.

2. The petitioner has carried out work for respondent. The petitioner is claiming that respondent has wrongly withheld its payment to the tune of Rs. 56,926/-.

3. This is second round of litigation. On the earlier occasion, the petitioner preferred CWP No. 2619 of 2012 which was disposed of vide order dated 13.02.2012 with a direction to respondent pass a speaking order on the petitioner's representation. The respondent by impugned order



has conceded petitioner’s claim to the tune of Rs. 39,000/-, however, rejected the remaining.

4. On being asked, learned counsel for the petitioner failed to dispute that there is arbitration clause in the agreement and it is purely a contractual dispute.

5. The liability is disputed by respondents. It is purely a contractual dispute and there is arbitration clause in the agreement, thus, there is no occasion to invoke writ jurisdiction of this Court.

6. In the backdrop, the petition stands disposed of. The petitioner is at liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law.

7. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.04.2026
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No