



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.103

Date of Decision: 08.05.2026

1) CM-6804-CWP-2026 in/and CWP-7453-2016 (O&M)

Navita and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

2) CM-6793-CWP-2026 in/and CWP-7438-2016 (O&M)

Manoj Bala Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

3) CM-6677-CWP-2026 in/and CWP-9162-2016 (O&M)

Monika Grover Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate, and
Mr. D.S. Maan, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

With the consent of parties, the main petitions are taken on Board today.

2. These petitions have been filed seeking regularisation of the petitioners in service, who are working as Lecturers in Computer Science/Commerce in different colleges under the Higher Education

Department.



3. Learned senior counsel contended that in terms of the latest decision of the Supreme Court, dated 16.04.2026, rendered in *Madan Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others*, 2026 INSC 379, the petitioners are entitled to regularisation under the Government notification dated 16.06.2014. At this stage, they will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make an appropriate representation before the respondent raising the said grievance, which may be ordered to be decided within a specified period. All relevant particulars of the petitioners' services which entitle them to the claimed benefit will be mentioned therein.
4. Learned State counsel submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by Additional Chief Secretary, Higher Education Department, Haryana, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law in the light of *Madan Singh case ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.
5. In view of the statement made, learned senior counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petitions being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.
8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.
9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the case file(s) of connected matter(s).

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

08.05.2026
Maninders

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No