



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

CWP-17863-2025 (O&M)

Decided on : 26.02.2026

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

. .Petitioners

Versus

SUB JAGIR SINGH AND ANR

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

PRESENT: Ms. Promila Nain, Senior Advocate
Senior Panel Counsel with
Mr. Ish Karan Singh Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, challenge is to the impugned order dated 28.03.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2-Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench Chandigarh in OA No. 1960 of 2018 (in short, 'the Tribunal') by which, the Tribunal had directed the petitioners to hold the Re-Survey Medical Board (herein after referred to as 'RSMB') of the respondent No. 1 for conducting his medical examination within a period of three months so as to assess the disability suffered by respondent No. 1 so as to decide whether he is entitled to the grant of disability element of disability pension or not.

2. On being asked, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners has not been able to show as to how such direction issued by the Tribunal is



contrary, illegal or beyond the jurisdiction of the Tribunal.

3. Further, It may be noticed that the impugned order passed by the Tribunal has been challenged by the petitioners after a period of three years which shows that even the direction issued by the Tribunal has not been complied with so far by the petitioners, which conduct of the petitioners itself disentitles them for the grant of the benefits being prayed for.

4. Keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of the present case, wherein, the only direction given by the Tribunal was to constitute the Re-Survey Medical Board so as to assess the disability of the respondent No. 1 and also coupled with the fact that, no prejudice qua the said direction by the Tribunal has been shown by the petitioners to this Court, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

6. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

26.02.2026

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/~~No~~

Whether Reportable:

~~Yes~~/No