



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CWP-26625-2018

Date of Decision: 27.02.2026

JASWINDER KAUR

...Petitioner

Vs.

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Dr. Anju Shama, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Addl. Standing Counsel
for the respondent-U.T. Chandigarh

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to appoint her on compassionate ground.
2. On 16.10.2018 while issuing notice of motion passed the following order:-

“Husband of the petitioner, who was working as Chowkidar, died on 31.01.2015. Thereafter, on 07.10.2015, the petitioner moved an application seeking appointment on compassionate ground. Respondents have made a seniority list for the purpose of granting appointment on compassionate grounds. As per letter dated 13.10.2015 (Annexure P-7), her seniority is fixed at serial No.921. Till date, no appointment has been given to the petitioner. However, vide letter/orders dated 18.04.2018 & 09.05.2018 (Annexures P-8 & P-9), allotment of H.No.I/1299-A, Sector 28, Chandigarh, has been cancelled and she has been asked to vacate the said house. The petitioner has not been informed about the fate of her application.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-U.T., Chandigarh and seeks time to get instructions.

Copy of this petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 26.11.2018.

To be heard along with CWP-26347-2018.

In the meantime, no further action pursuant to notices dated 18.04.2018 & 09.05.2018 (Annexures P-8 & P-9) will be taken against the petitioner.

On the next date of hearing, learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh will inform as to why, even contractual appointment has not been given to the petitioner keeping in view that she has lost her husband.”

3. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that initially petitioner moved an application seeking compassionate appointment, however, later on her daughter moved an application seeking appointment. The application of petitioner’s daughter has been processed and forwarded to Apex Committee.
4. The petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent to process petitioner’s daughter application expeditiously and preferably within six months from today. It is made clear that petitioner would not be liable to vacate house till adjudication of her application, however, she would be bound to vacate house the moment Apex Committee decides her fate.
5. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

February 27, 2026
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No