



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Reserved on:18.04.2026
CRA-S-2675-2024 (O&M)
Pronounced on: 29.05.2026
Uploaded on: 31.05.2026

Whether only operative part of the judgment is pronounced or the full judgment is pronounced: operative part/full Judgment

Naresh @ NikkaAppellant(s)
VERSUS
The State of Haryana ...Respondent(s)

Reserved on:18.04.2026
CRA-S-2362-2024 (O&M)
Pronounced on: 29.05.2026
Uploaded on: 31.05.2026

Pawan @ Pammu and another ...Appellant(s)
VERSUS
State of Haryana ...Respondent(s)

Reserved on:18.04.2026
CRA-S-2417-2024 (O&M)
Pronounced on: 29.05.2026
Uploaded on: 31.05.2026

Sandeep ...Appellant(s)
VERSUS
State of Haryana ...Respondent(s)

Reserved on:18.04.2026
CRA-S-2938-2025 (O&M)
Pronounced on: 29.05.2026
Uploaded on: 31.05.2026

Shammy @ Gaurav @ Shammi Kumar ...Appellant(s)
VERSUS
State of Haryana ...Respondent(s)

Reserved on:18.04.2026
CRA-S-2993-2025 (O&M)
Pronounced on: 29.05.2026
Uploaded on: 31.05.2026

Sunny@ Swaran

...Appellant(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate for the appellant
in CRA-S-2675-2024.

None for the appellant in CRA-S-2417-2024.

Mr. Sunil Polist, Advocate for appellant No.1
in CRA-S-2362-2024.

Mr. K.P.S. Virk, Advocate for the appellant No.2
in CRA-S-2362-2024.

Mr. Sahil Parmar, Advocate for the appellant(s)
in CRA-S-2938-2025 and CRA-S-2993-2025.

Mr. Paras Talwar, Sr. DAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J.

1. These 05 appeals against the judgment dated 08.05.2024 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal in Sessions Case No.50 of 2021 (CIS No.SC/138/2020) and arising from FIR bearing No.155 dated 06.06.2020 registered under Sections 395, 397, 427, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Kaithal, District Kaithal are being decided by this common judgment. For the facility of reference, facts are, however, being extracted from ***CRA-S-2675-2024*** titled as '***Naresh @ Nikka Vs. The State of Haryana***'.

2. Briefly summarized, facts of the present case are that on

