

2026:PHHC:087170



102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32655-2026(O&M)
DECIDED ON: 10.06.2026**

BAKSHI RAM

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. K.S. Dhanora, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. N.K. Vashisth, Advocate for the complainant

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked Under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier U/s 438 of Cr.P.C.) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.87 dated 17.04.2026, U/s 318 (4) of BNS, 2023 (earlier U/s 420 of IPC), U/s 336(2) of BNS, 2023 (earlier U/s 465 of IPC), U/s 336 (3) of BNS, 2023 (earlier U/s 468 of IPC), U/s 340 (2) of BNS, 2023 (earlier U/s 471 of IPC), U/s 61 (2) of BNS, 2023 (earlier U/s 120-B of IPC), Police Station, Goraya, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1).

2. Contention

On behalf of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioner is 88 years old person who is Numbardar and as per the allegations he attested the

Kursinama by concealing the true facts whereas the petitioner is neither any beneficiary to the property nor having any share in the property of the deceased Pargan Singh. He has also argued that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, who is ready to join investigation.

Notice of motion.

On behalf of the State/Complainant

On the asking of Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State.

Mr. N.K. Vashisth, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant and has filed Power of Attorney, the same is taken on record.

They are not in a position to controvert the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. **Analysis**

The petitioner is stated to be an 88-year-old Numbardar and the allegation against him is confined to attestation of the Kursinama. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant have also not been able to controvert the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner. Further, no recovery is stated to be effected from the petitioner and he has expressed his willingness to join and cooperate with the investigation.

In the light of above, this Court is of the considered view that there is no valid or cogent reason to deny the bail to the present petitioner, wherein he has *bona fide* intentions and is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency in time.

4. **Relief:-**

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a

period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

- (i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*
- (iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’*

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

10.06.2026
anuradha

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:Yes/No
:Yes/No