



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.106

**CM-7083-CWP-2026,
CM-7084-CWP-2026 and
CM-7085-CWP-2026 in/and
CWP-20467-2020 (O&M)**

Date of Decision: 06.05.2026

Ram Chander and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate, and
Ms. Navjeet Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

CM-7083-CWP-2026

For the reasons stated, application is allowed and the date of hearing of main case is preponed to today itself.

Main case

The petition has been filed seeking regularisation of the petitioners in service, who are working as Workshop Attendant/Peon-cum-Chowkidar in Government Industrial Training Institute, Nagina.

2. Learned counsel contended that in terms of the latest decision of the Supreme Court, dated 16.04.2026, rendered in *Madan Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others*, 2026 INSC 379, the petitioners are entitled to regularisation under the Government notification dated 18.06.2014, Annexure P-4. At this stage, they will be satisfied in case they are permitted

to make an appropriate representation before the respondents raising the said



grievance, which may be ordered to be decided within a specified period. All relevant particulars of the petitioners' services which entitle them to the claimed benefit will be mentioned therein.

3. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by respondent no.2 - Director, Department of Skill Development and Industrial Training, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law in the light of *Madan Singh* case *ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.

4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

06.05.2026
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No