



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-7085-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22.01.2026**

SUNIL SHARMA & ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CWP-7848-2017(O&M)

SHAMSHER SINGH

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CWP-7085-2016.

None for the petitioner in CWP-7848-2017.

Ms. Pratibha Bali, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S.Gill, Advocate,
for the intervener.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that a similar issue has been decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, and thereupon, the department concerned, has reconsidered the claim of some of the similarly situated employees, and has passed a speaking order.

2. He further submits that, in view of the above, the petitioners, restricts their prayer, to the extent passing of a *mandamus* upon the respondents to reconsider the claim of the petitioners, and thereupon, to pass a fresh speaking order, after giving due opportunity of hearing.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, submits that she has no objection in case such a direction is passed upon the respondents.

4. In view of the above, and considering the prayer, as made by learned counsel for the petitioner, being innocuous and *bona fide*, this Court, without commenting anything upon the merits of the instant petition, directs the respondents, to reconsider the claim of the petitioners, by passing a speaking order, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

January 22, 2026
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No