



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CWP-28114-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.01.2026**

SURINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Gaurav Kapoor, Advocate and
Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner is praying for issuance of a direction to the respondents to reinstate the petitioner and release all the consequential benefits due to the petitioner in view of the letters dated 21.07.2015 (Annexure P-5) and 06.08.2015 (Annexure P-7).

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that services of the petitioner were terminated vide order dated 19.08.2003 (Annexure P-3). He further submits that an FIR on the same charge was registered against the petitioner and six other teachers on 02.10.2003. He submits that the petitioner, along with six other teachers, has been acquitted by the competent Court vide judgment dated 17.10.2013 and, as such, the petitioner is entitled to be reinstated in service.



CWP-28114-2017

:2:

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that after his acquittal, the petitioner has submitted various representations praying for reinstatement in service. It is also the case of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that various documents were sought by the respondents from the petitioner vide Annexures P-5 and P-7. He submits that despite of the fact that the petitioner has been acquitted by the competent Court and the requisite documents have been submitted by the petitioner, no final decision has been taken by the respondents with regard to his reinstatement.
4. Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage, he will be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.3 to take a final decision on the representation submitted by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.
5. Learned State counsel does not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
6. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a final decision on the representation/representations submitted by the petitioner within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in accordance with law.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

12.01.2026

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No