IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

S.No. 112

CRM-M-33683-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 04.05.2026

Rachneet Kaur Jaggi @ Rachna @ Rachnit Kaur Jaggi

… Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

…Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

*****

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.159 dated 31.05.2024 registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on and Section 467 IPC deleted later on) at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala.

2. The brief facts of the case are that complainant-Sunil Kumar had presented a complaint to the police alleging therein that accused Purushotam Bhatti and Rachna (present petitioner), who are owners of Vishwas Group situated at Vikas Vihar, Ambala City, in collusion with their whole team after hatching a criminal conspiracy with each other have cheated him for ₹18,00,000/- by getting opened a forged fund account after inducing him to send abroad, firstly, on study visa and thereafter, on work visa. They have also cheated other persons. They have three PAN Nos. i.e.

PARITOSH KUMAR
2026.05.05 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this Judicial
Record/Decree/Document

AAHFV2558D, AAHFV2560K and AHKPB1404C on which they have obtained four GST numbers. Out of them, three GST numbers are closed. They have allegedly committed embezzlement of about ₹15,00,00,000/-. Thus, the request was made to take legal action. On the basis of the same, the FIR was registered. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. Resultantly, the petitioner was arrested on 19.07.2024. On completion of the investigation, the challan was presented and on framing of charges, the trial commenced. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala praying for the grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 22.05.2025. Hence being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court praying for grant of regular bail by way of filing the present petition.

3. Vide order dated 09.02.2026, the matter was referred for mediation and the petitioner was granted interim bail for 3 months.

4. Counsel for the petitioner contends that in the given facts and circumstances, this Court had referred the matter for mediation to explore the possibility of amicable settlement. Vide order dated 09.02.2026, the petitioner was granted interim bail for a period of 3 months. It is contended that vide agreement dated 13.04.2026, both the sides have amicably resolved their outstanding issue. The same has been placed before this Court and the petitioner has never misused the concession of interim bail granted by this Court. It is contended that though the petitioner is prosecuted in 40 other

PARITOSH KUMAR
2026.05.05 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this Judicial
Record/Decree/Document

cases, however, the petitioner is on bail in all those cases. In the facts and circumstances, he is entitled for grant of regular bail.

5. The State counsel has, however, opposed the submission of the counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender who is involved in 40 other cases. However, he does not dispute the factum that after referring the matter to the mediation, the matter has been resolved by the petitioner with the complainant. He on instructions submits that after completion of investigation, challan has already been presented. He, however, has submitted that there is nothing on record to show that the petitioner has misused the concession of interim bail granted to him by this Court vide order dated 09.02.2026 as there is no complaint *qua* the same.

6. Heard.

7. After hearing the counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that this Court had referred the matter to the mediation. Vide order dated 09.02.2026, the petitioner was granted interim bail for a period of 3 months subject to payment of ₹ 2 lakh to the complainant. Vide agreement dated 13.4.2026, both the sides have amicably resolved their issues. The settlement/compromise is also placed on record. There is nothing on record to show that the petitioner has misused the concession of interim bail.

8. In view of above facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed and interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.02.2026 is made absolute to his already furnished surety/bail bonds before the trial Court and the same shall be treated as alive till the final disposal of the trial. The petitioner is directed to keep on appearing before

PARITOSH KUMAR
2026.05.05 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this Judicial
Record/Decree/Document

the trial Court as and when required to do so and also to comply with the direction of the trial Court given by it during the pendency of the trial.

9. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. Pending application, if any, shall stands disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

May 04, 2026
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

PARITOSH KUMAR
2026.05.05 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this Judicial
Record/Decree/Document