



**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-6696-2026
Date of decision: 04.06.2026**

KHUSHI SALONKI AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. Saurabh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner (through V.C.).

Mr. Adeshwar Singh Pannu, AAG, Punjab.

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and for restraining the private respondents No.4 to 10 from interfering in their matrimonial life.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that both the petitioners are major and copies of their Aadhaar cards are appended with the petition as Annexures P-1 and P-2, as proof of their age. It is further contended that the petitioners have got married on 28.05.2026 at Prachin Pashupati Nath Shiva Mandir, Society, Sector-4, Panchkula, Haryana. The attention of the Court is drawn to the certificate of marriage and photographs, which are appended with the petition as Annexure P-3. It is argued that the private respondents No.4 to 10 and other relatives are opposed to the marriage of petitioner No.1 with petitioner No.2 and thus, there is a serious threat to the



life and liberty of the petitioners. It is contended that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 28.05.2026 (Annexure P-4) along with Email to respondent No.2 seeking protection of their life and liberty and would be satisfied if at this stage a direction is given to the said respondents to decide the same in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the aforementioned facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 28.05.2026 (Annexure P-4) strictly in accordance with the Standard Operating Procedures notified by the State of Punjab vide Notification dated 23.01.2025.

4. It is clarified that this Court has not commented upon the veracity of the averments made in the present petition, which will be ascertained by the concerned authority, in accordance with law.

5. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

04.06.2026

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No