



CWP-18628-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(104)

CWP-18628-2026

Date of Decision: - 01.07.2026

Amrit Lal Gupta and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. A perusal of the paper-book would show that in para 2 it has been stated that the petitioners are the owner of the land in question and a reference has been made to sale deed (Annexure P-1). A perusal of the said sale deed would show that it is the mother of the petitioners who was the owner of the property and in paragraph 3 of the writ petition, it has been stated that the petitioner's mother had taken compensation with respect to the said land. Further perusal of the paper-book would show that vide order dated 06.04.2015, the mother of the petitioners along with one Ram Rattan had filed CWP-117 of 2015 in which an order had been passed to the effect that in case a representation is filed by the petitioners therein within a period of two months from the passing of the said order,

**CWP-18628-2026****-2-**

the same would be decided in accordance with law by passing a speaking order.

2. Nothing has been stated in the present writ petition as to what transpired in pursuance of the above-said order.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh writ petition after giving full and better particulars.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

5. It is made clear that in case any such writ petition is filed, the same would be considered independently in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

July 01, 2026
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No