



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-27111-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.04.2026

Satpal PrinjaPetitioner

Versus

Punjab & Haryana High Court Chandigarh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Karan Nehra, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. Amit Goyal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that entire retiral benefits have since been released in favour of the petitioner. Accordingly, the only issue which subsists for adjudication by this Court is with regard to the interest on the delayed payment.
2. In the wake of the above, the instant writ petition is disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority, amongst the respondents, by way of a comprehensive representation within a period of four weeks from today, for redressal of his grievance, as referred to above. In the event, any such representation is filed, the authority concerned shall consider and decide the same within a period of six weeks thereafter, by passing a speaking order, after giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.
3. **Disposed of**, accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

21.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No