



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101-1

CRM-M-36435-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.02.2026

Kamlesh Jindal ...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab ...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Gaurav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Dr. (Ms.) Savi Nagpal, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Vinod Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arnav Ghai, Advocate;
Ms. Kashish Sahni, Advocate for the complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

This second petition has been filed for grant of pre-arrest/anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No. 40 dated 27.02.2024 for offence(s) under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Gobindgarh Sahib, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

2. The was taken up on 31.07.2024, when the following order was passed:

“CRM-30241-2024
Application is allowed as prayed for.
CRM-M-36435-2024

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
---------	-------	----------------	----------

40	27.02.2024	Gobindgarh Sahib, District Fatehgarh Sahib	420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC
----	------------	--	---------------------------------------

The petitioner apprehending the arrest in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail.

Notice served upon the official respondent through the State’s counsel.

The concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police to file/hand over their reply/response/instructions/Status report, positively before the next date, failing which this court might direct the officer superior to them to do so.

The reply/Response/Instructions/Status report shall also mention the following, under headings:

- A. The role of the petitioner.*
- B. The evidence against the petitioner.*
- C. In case of dismissal of this petition, would the police arrest the petitioner in this FIR, and do they need the accused’s police custody?*

List on 12.08.2024.

In the meantime, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed in the FIR captioned above till further orders.”

- 3. The interim order has continued to operate for a period of nearly two years.
- 4. Without going into the merits of case, the interim order dated 31.07.2024 passed by this Court is made absolute and the present petition is accordingly allowed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

17.02.2026
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No