

CM-4042-2026 in/and
LPA-1657-2026

2026:PHHC:088546-DB



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(128)

CM-4042-LPA-2026 in/and
LPA-1657-2026
Date of Decision : 01.07.2026

Rajinder Kumar

...Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for the appellant.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-4042-LPA-2026

Present application has been filed for seeking condonation of delay of 100 days in filing the appeal.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed and delay of 100 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

LPA-1657-2026

1. After arguing for some time, learned for the appellant submits that even if the back wages are denied but, the period for which the appellant remained out, cannot be treated as non-duty period as it will cause prejudice despite being exonerated of the criminal charge, which fact has not been considered by the learned Single Judge hence, the present appeal may kindly

**CM-4042-2026 in/and
LPA-1657-2026**

2026:PHHC:088546-DB



2

be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the appellant to file a review application before the learned Single Judge.

2. Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

July 01, 2026
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No