

2026:PHHC:086334



107 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6520-2026

Date of Decision: 01.06.2026

Snubi Parveen and another

.....Petitioners..

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Anupinder Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. Present criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioners praying for issuance of direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents and their other companions.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide the representation dated 27.05.2026 (Annexure P-5) moved at the instance of petitioners by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, APP, U.T. Chandigarh appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and raises no

objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to look into the representation dated 27.05.2026 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 02 (two) weeks from today.

01.06.2026
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no