

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-18534-2026

Date of Decision : July 01, 2026

SHEENU AND ANOTHER

-PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Angel Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the competent respondent to consider and decide their representation dated 23.05.2026 within a time-bound period. It is submitted that an identical direction has already been issued by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of similarly situated employees vide order dated 10.03.2026 (Annexure P-6).

2. Learned State counsel, who is in receipt of an advance copy of the writ petition and represents the respondents, submits that he has no objection if a direction is issued to the competent respondent to consider and decide the petitioners' representation (*supra*) within a time-bound period.

3. In view of the above, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the petitioners' claim, the instant writ petition is **disposed of** with a direction to the competent respondent to consider and

decide the petitioners’ representation (*supra*), in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, after affording the petitioners an adequate opportunity of hearing.

4. Liberty is reserved to the petitioners to seek revival of the instant writ petition in the event the authority concerned fails to comply with the directions issued hereinabove.

July 01, 2026
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No